

Summary of ECIC 2011 Evaluation Forms

32 forms were returned which represents a third of those attending.

Keynote speakers

Average score out of 5.

John Girard – average of 4 for topical and 4.2 for interesting

Ludo Pyis – average of 4.5 for topical and 4.6 for interesting

Individual comments made about the keynote presentations

- A keynote should give concrete ideas – Ludo was perfect.
- Highly informative keynote addresses
- Both were too short. I wouldn't have minded listening to them for 1.5 hours so they could reach more depth on their subjects.
- Presentation of Ludo Pyis was more practical and therefore more useful.
- Ludo's presentation really inspirational.
- Ludo talks a lot but he doesn't give a solution to the valuation of intangible valuation. He thinks all financial methods are not valid but he doesn't give a better one.
- John – on the ball and a lot of info (speaks very fast).
- Ludo – academically suspect (at best), lots of 'fun' anecdotes, but very little substance.
- Both keynote speakers were excellent in preparation, delivery and examples.
- Both highly relevant.
- Deep insights and inspiring ideas.
- Ludo was too opinionated for an academic conference.

Knowledge Café

Approximately 24 people attended of which 17 completed forms

Individual comments made

- Time was short
- A great brainstorming event worth keeping
- It was very nice and inspiring and a real nice way to connect with other visiting researchers. It would have benefited from a real café setting though.
- Great – unfortunately too little time.
- Great exchange of perspectives.
- This café could have been done either earlier and the results discussed in an open session or closer to the end so as to use the results next year, or leave participants with ideas.
- Was a good experience. I had a good brainstorming session.
- Creative and playful – very enjoyable.

Other general comments made

- This conference is very specific which allows us to get the maximum benefits from it.
- Well focused and profound about the conference's announced topics.
- Intellectually challenging and rich in ideas.
- Too much time allocated to individual sessions – more presentations would be great
- The level of understandability was very variable.
- The conference was interesting – try to use more practical cases and examples in future.
- Nice location, better papers, getting stronger.
- Average quality has, in my opinion, gone down relative to ECIC 2009. On the whole, with a few exceptions, little thought provoking presentations. Include more challenging and thought-provoking keynotes. Balance academics/professionals (practitioners) perhaps a little more in favour of the latter.
- Poor English. Poor theoretical frameworks.
- More attention should be given to the quality of the presentations and the ability of the speakers to deliver their message. Good research let down by poor presentation.
- More feedback for the PhD presentations would be useful.
- Invite a famous person for sharing their experiences.

Suggestions for mini tracks

- Human/structural/relational issues
- More thematic tracks spread over more days – more emphasis on quantitative research.
- Research methods in IC, KM, ICM would be very useful.
- IC in networking systems.
- Quantitative approach to IC.
- Organisational forgetting